



MIGRATION

Introduction

Prior to the go live of the North Yorkshire Home Choice Common Allocations Policy and register it was agreed that cross boundary migration would be closely monitored. Across the sub region there has been some concern from Councillors about the number of properties being let to “outside of area” applicants. “Outside of area” is perceived as being from within the sub region but outside of the locality/village.

The IT system (Abitas) that NYHC runs does not fully report all the information required to allow us to produce a report on cross boundary migration. This has been a cause of concern across the partnership with different figures coming from different partners. Additionally due to the system set up not all adverts currently reflect the area in which the property is actually situated. Unfortunately the only way of reporting on migration from the Abritas system is to look at the HRA and adverts and compare where an applicant was registered rather than where they actually lived and the advert and what is stated on the advert as the local authority area, the standard reports have thus proved confusing and inconclusive.

In order to fully quantify cross boundary migration within the first 12 months of the NYHC partnership being live a project has been undertaken to analyse data in depth by the NYHC coordinator.

Information Analysed

In order to gather the correct information a report of all lettings from July 2011 to July 2012 inclusive was taken from the Abritas system, this information was split into partner area that the applicant registered with.

Abitas cannot report on the “previous address” of an applicant once they have started a tenancy therefore to get accurate information each partner landlord was asked to provide details of the address at registration.

This information was broken down to look at the LA boundary where the applicant lived on registration. Did the applicant live within the LA boundary they registered with, within the sub region or from outside the partnership area. It then looked at the LA boundary where the applicant was housed. Were they housed within the LA boundary, within the sub region or outside the partnership area.

This report looks at the number of applicants housed, the area where they lived on registration and which local authority area boundary they were subsequently housed within.

CRAVEN	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered within craven LA	No from Craven LA area	No from SR area (but not craven)	No from outside area	No housed within Craven LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
219	198	4	17	213	6	0

HAMBLETON	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered within Hambleton LA	No from Hambleton LA area	No from SR area (but not Hambleton)	No from outside area	No housed within Craven LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
279	228	23	28	220	28	31

RYEDALE	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered within Ryedale LA	No from Ryedale LA area	No from SR area (but not Ryedale)	No from outside area	No housed within Ryedale	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
261	187	46	28	181	79	1

SCARBOROUGH	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered with S/borough	No from s/bro LA area	No from SR area (but not s/bro)	No from outside area	No housed within s/bro LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
476	454	8	14	452	23	1

SELBY	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered within Selby LA	No from Selby LA area	No from SR area (but not Selby)	No from outside area	No housed within Selby LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
303	202	10	91	281	19	3

YORK	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered within CYC LA	No from York LA area	No from SR area (but not York)	No from outside area	No housed within York LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
694	657	4	33	623	70	1

RICHMONSHIRE	REGISTERED			HOUSED		
No of applications registered with Richmondshire LA	No from Richmondshire LA area	No from SR area (but not R/mond)	No from outside area	No housed within R/mond LA	No housed within SR	No housed outside partnership area
197	163	17	17	173	23	1

The next set of tables give a breakdown of movement across boundary within the sub region

Craven	Movement out of Craven	Movement into Craven
Craven		
Hambleton		2
Ryedale	1	2
Scarborough	1	
Selby		1
York	2	6
Richmond	2	2
Totals	6	13

Craven net gain of 7

Hambleton	Movement out of Hambleton	Movement into Hambleton
Craven	2	
Hambleton		
Ryedale	3	9
Scarborough	1	2
Selby	5	
York	5	
Richmond	12	16
Totals	28	27

Hambleton had a net loss of 1

Ryedale	Movement out of Ryedale	Movement into Ryedale
Craven	2	1
Hambleton	9	3
Ryedale		
Scarborough	7	12
Selby	6	5
York	53	20
Richmond	2	
Totals	79	41

Ryedale had a net loss of 38

Scarborough	Movement out of Scarborough	Movement into Scarborough
Craven		1
Hambleton	2	1
Ryedale	12	7
Scarborough		
Selby	4	
York	4	5
Richmond	1	
Totals	23	14

Scarborough had a net loss of 9

Selby	Movement out of selby	Movement into Selby
Craven	1	
Hambleton		5
Ryedale	5	6
Scarborough		4
Selby		
York	12	39
Richmond	1	1
Totals	19	55

Selby had a net gain of 36

York	Movement out of York	Movement into York
Craven	6	2
Hambleton		5
Ryedale	20	53
Scarborough	5	4
Selby	39	12
York		
Richmond		4
Totals	70	80

York had a net gain of 10

Richmond	Movement out of Richmond	Movement into Richmond
Craven	2	2
Hambleton	16	12
Ryedale		2
Scarborough		1
Selby	1	1
York	4	
Richmond		
Totals	23	18

Richmond had a net loss of 5